

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/759,337	TIDMARSH, GEORGE
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Raymond J. Henley III	1614

All Participants:

Status of Application: Pending

(1) Raymond J. Henley III. (3) _____.

(2) R. Ted Apple. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 June 2005

Time: AM (E.D.T.)

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

9, 11-13 and 21-23

Prior art documents discussed:

None


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Authorization given for Examiner's amendment, i.e., to provide basis for the "daily dose" language of claims 11-13 and 21-23

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)